arXiv:1603.05043v2 [math.GM] 23 Mar 2016

On a Kählerian space-time manifold

*B. B. Chaturvedi and **Pankaj Pandey
Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya Bilaspur (C.G.), India
Email: *brajbhushan25@gmail.com
**pankajpandey.mathematics@gmail.com

Abstract In this paper, the theory of space-time in 4-dimensional Kähler manifold has been studied. We have also discussed the Einstein equation with cosmological constant in perfect fluid Kählerian space-time manifold. By taking conformally flat perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold, we have obtained interesting results related to sectional curvatures. In last two sections we have studied weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifolds.

Keywords: Kählerian space-time manifold. Einstein equation. conformally flat manifold. weakly symmetric manifold. weakly Ricci symmetric manifold

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 53C25. 53C55. 53C80

1 Introduction

The study of space time is associated with 4-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifolds equipped with Lorentz metric g having signature (-,+,+,+). B. O'Neill [12] discussed the application of semi-Riemannian geometry in the theory of relativity. The curvature structure of the space-time is studied by V. R. Kaigorodov [9] in 1983. After then, these ideas of general relativity of space-time are extended by A. K. Roychaudhury, S. Banerji and A. Banerji [14], M. C. Chaki and S. Roy [2], A. A. Shaikh, Dae Won Yoon and S. K. Hui [15], U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh [5] and many other Differential Geometers and Physicist.

In 2004 U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh [5] considered the weakly Ricci symmetric space-time manifold and obtained some results related to it. The weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric manifolds are introduced by L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh [1, 16]. Also, M. Prvanovic [13] and U. C. De and S. Bandyopdhayay [3] explained it with examples. L. Tamassy, U. C. De and T. Q. Binh [17] also found some interesting results related to weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric Kähler manifolds.

An n-dimensional Riemannian manifold is said to be a weakly symmetric if the curvature tensor R of type (0,4) of the manifold satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X R)(Y,Z,U,V) =& A(X)R(Y,Z,U,V)\\ &+ B(Y)R(X,Z,U,V) + C(Z)R(Y,X,U,V)\\ &+ D(U)R(Y,Z,X,V) + E(V)R(Y,Z,U,X),\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

and if the Ricci tensor S of the manifold satisfies

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = A(X)S(Y,Z) + B(Y)S(X,Z) + C(Z)S(Y,X), \tag{1.2}$$

then manifold is called weakly Ricci symmetric manifold. Where A, B, C, D, E are simultaneously non-vanishing 1-forms and X, Y, Z, U, V are vector fields.

In 1995, Prvanovic [13] proved that if the manifold be a weakly symmetric manifold satisfying (1.1) then $B = C = D = E$. In this paper we have taken $B = C = D = E = \omega$, therefore, the equations (1.1) and (1.2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X R)(Y,Z,U,V) =& A(X)R(Y,Z,U,V) \\ &+ \omega(Y)R(X,Z,U,V) + \omega(Z)R(Y,X,U,V) \\ &+ \omega(U)R(Y,Z,X,V) + \omega(V)R(Y,Z,U,X),\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = A(X)S(Y,Z) + \omega(Y)S(X,Z) + \omega(Z)S(Y,X), \tag{1.4}$$

where $g(X,\rho) = \omega(X)$ and $g(X,\alpha) = A(X)$.

Recently, U. C. De and A. De [4], A. De, C. Özgür and U. C. De [7] extended the theory of space-time by studying the application of almost pseudo-conformally symmetric Ricci-recurrent manifolds and conformally flat almost pseudo Ricci-symmetric manifolds respectively. In 2012 and 2014, S. Mallick and U. C. De [6, 11] gave examples of weakly symmetric and conformally flat weakly Ricci symmetric space-time manifolds and proved that a conformally flat weakly Ricci symmetric space-time with non-zero scalar curvature is the Robertson-Walker space-time and the vorticity and the shear vanish. Also, they have shown that a weakly symmetric perfect fluid space-time with cyclic parallel Ricci tensor can not admit heat flux. In 2014, U. C. De and Ljubica Velimirovic [8] explained the space-time with semi-symmetric energy-momentum tensor and proved that a space-time manifold with semi-symmetric energy momentum tensor is Ricci semi-symmetric.

In the consequence of this type of development we have studied the general relativity of space-time in 4-dimensional Kähler manifold and this type of manifold is called the Kählerian space-time manifold.

A 4-dimensional space-time manifold is said to be a Kählerian space-time manifold if the following conditions hold:

$$F^2(X) = -X, \tag{1.5}$$

$$g(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) = g(X,Y), \tag{1.6}$$

$$(\nabla_X F)(Y) = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

where, F is a tensor field of type (1,1) such that $F(X) = \overline{X}$, g is a Riemannian metric and ∇ is a Levi-Civita connection.

We know that in a Kähler manifold the Ricci tensor S satisfies

$$S(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) = S(X,Y). \tag{1.8}$$

2 Perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold

We know that the Einstein equation with cosmological constant for the perfect fluid space-time is given by

$$S(X,Y) - \frac{r}{2}g(X,Y) + \lambda g(X,Y) = k[(\sigma + p)\omega(X)\omega(Y) + pg(X,Y)], \tag{2.1}$$

where k is the gravitational constant, σ is energy density, p is isotropic pressure of the fluid and ω is 1-form defined by $\omega(X) = g(X,\rho)$ for time-like vector field ρ. The time-like vector field ρ is called velocity of the fluid and satisfies $g(\rho,\rho) = -1$. Also, the energy density σ and the pressure p can be described in the sense that if σ vanishes then content matter of the fluid is not pure and if the pressure p vanishes then the fluid is dust.

Now replacing X and Y by $\overline{X}$ and $\overline{Y}$ respectively in (2.1) and using (1.6) and (1.8), we get

$$S(X,Y) - \frac{r}{2}g(X,Y) + \lambda g(X,Y) = k[(\sigma + p)\omega(\overline{X})\omega(\overline{Y}) + pg(X,Y)]. \tag{2.2}$$

Subtracting (2.1) from (2.2), we have

$$k(\sigma + p)[\omega(\overline{X})\omega(\overline{Y}) - \omega(X)\omega(Y)] = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Putting $Y = \rho$ in (2.3), we obtained

$$k(\sigma + p)\omega(X) = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

since $k \neq 0$ and $\omega(X) \neq 0$, we have

$$\sigma + p = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

which shows the fluid behaves like a cosmological constant. Also from (2.5), we have $\sigma = -p$ which represents a rapid expansion of space-time in cosmology and known as Inflation.

Now using (2.5), equation (2.1) gives

$$S(X,Y) = (\frac{r}{2} - \lambda + k.p)g(X,Y). \tag{2.6}$$

Putting $X = Y = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ in (2.6) and taking summation over i, we can easily obtained

$$\lambda - k.p = \frac{r}{4}. \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$S(X,Y) = \frac{r}{4}g(X,Y). \tag{2.8}$$

Hence from above discussion, we conclude the following:

Theorem 2.1. *If M be a perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold satisfying the Einstein equation with cosmological constant then*
(i) the Einstein equation is independent of the isotropic pressure p, energy density σ, cosmological constant λ and the gravitational constant k.
(ii) the space-time manifold is an Einstein manifold.

Since an Einstein manifold is the manifold of constant scalar curvature r, therefore, equation (2.7) implies the pressure p is constant and hence from (2.5) we have the energy density σ is constant.

It is well known [12] that the Energy equation for the perfect fluid is given by

$$\rho.\sigma = -(\sigma + p)div\rho. \tag{2.9}$$

Using (2.5) in (2.9), we get

$$\rho.\sigma = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Above equation implies $\sigma = 0$ as $\rho \neq 0$. Because if $\rho = 0$ then we have contradiction that $g(\rho, \rho) = -1$. But then the equation (2.5) gives $p = 0$ and hence the energy momentum tensor $T(X, Y) = (\sigma + p)\omega(X)\omega(Y) + pg(X, Y)$ vanishes.
Thus we conclude:

Theorem 2.2. *If M be a perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold satisfying the Einstein equation with cosmological constant then*
(i) the energy density σ and the isotropic pressure p vanish i.e. the content matter of the fluid is not pure and the perfect fluid is dust.
(ii) the energy momentum tensor $T(X, Y)$ vanishes i.e. the space-time is vaccum.

Since the velocity vector field ρ is constant, we have

$$div\rho = 0 \quad and \quad \nabla_\rho \rho = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Hence from equations (2.11), we can state:

Theorem 2.3. *If M be a perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold satisfying Einstein equation with cosmological constant then the expansion scalar and the acceleration vector vanish.*

3 Conformally flat perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold

The Weyl conformal curvature tensor C on an n-dimensional manifold M is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C(X,Y,Z,T) =& R(X,Y,Z,T) - \frac{1}{(n-2)}[S(Y,Z)g(X,T) \\ & - S(X,Z)g(Y,T) + S(X,T)g(Y,Z) \\ & - S(Y,T)g(X,Z)] \\ & + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(Y,Z)g(X,T) - g(X,Z)g(Y,T)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

If the manifold be conformally flat, then above equation implies

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y,Z,T) =& \frac{1}{2}[S(Y,Z)g(X,T) - S(X,Z)g(Y,T) \\ & + S(X,T)g(Y,Z) - S(Y,T)g(X,Z)] \\ & - \frac{r}{6}[g(Y,Z)g(X,T) - g(X,Z)g(Y,T)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Putting the value of Ricci tensor S from (2.8) in (3.2), we have

$$R(X,Y,Z,T) = \frac{r}{12}[g(Y,Z)g(X,T) - g(X,Z)g(Y,T)]. \tag{3.3}$$

If the 3-dimensional distribution of the manifold orthogonal to ρ is denoted by $\rho^{\perp}$ then by putting $Z = Y$ and $T = X$ in (3.3), we can write

$$R(X,Y,Y,X) = \frac{r}{12}[g(X,X)g(Y,Y) - g(X,Y)g(X,Y)], \tag{3.4}$$

where X, Y $\in \rho^{\perp}$.
Putting $Y = \rho$ in (3.4), we have

$$R(X,\rho,\rho,X) = -\frac{r}{12}g(X,X), \tag{3.5}$$

where X $\in \rho^{\perp}$.
If we denote the sectional curvatures determined by X, Y and X, ρ by $K(X,Y)$ and $K(X,\rho)$ respectively then from (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(X,Y) =& \frac{R(X,Y,Y,X)}{g(X,X)g(Y,Y) - g(X,Y)g(X,Y)} \\ &= \frac{r}{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K(X,\rho) =& \frac{R(X,\rho,\rho,X)}{g(X,X)g(\rho,\rho) - g(X,\rho)g(X,\rho)} \\ &= \frac{r}{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Thus we have:

Theorem 3.1. *If M be a conformally flat perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold satisfying the Einstein equation with cosmological constant then the sectional curvature determine by X, Y and X, ρ are same and equal to $\frac{r}{12}$ i.e. $K(X,Y) = K(X,\rho) = \frac{r}{12}$.*

Karcher [10] has defined that a Lorentzian manifold is said to be infinitesimally spatially isotropic relative to the velocity vector field ρ if the Riemannian curvature tensor R satisfies

$$R(X,Y,Z,T) = a.[g(Y,Z)g(X,T) - g(X,Z)g(Y,T)], \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$R(X,\rho,\rho,Y) = b.g(X,Y), \tag{3.9}$$

where a and b are real valued functions and $X, Y, Z, T \in \rho^{\perp}$. Putting $Y = Z = \rho$ in (3.3), we get

$$R(X,\rho,\rho,T) = -\frac{r}{12}g(X,T). \tag{3.10}$$

Hence from (3.3) and (3.10), we can state:

Theorem 3.2. *If M be a conformally flat perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold satisfying the Einstein equation with cosmological constant then the manifold is infinitesimally spatially isotropic relative to the velocity vector field ρ.*

4 Weakly symmetric perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold

If M be a weakly symmetric Kähler manifold then we have

$$R(\overline{Y},\overline{Z},U,V) = R(Y,Z,U,V). \tag{4.1}$$

Taking covariant derivative of (4.1), we get easily

$$(\nabla_X R)(\overline{Y},\overline{Z},U,V) = (\nabla_X R)(Y,Z,U,V). \tag{4.2}$$

Using (1.3) in (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(Y)R(X,Z,U,V) + \omega(Z)R(Y,X,U,V) = \omega(\overline{Y})R(X,\overline{Z},U,V)\\ + \omega(\overline{Z})R(\overline{Y},X,U,V).\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Putting $Z = U = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ in (4.3) and taking summation over i, we obtained

$$\omega(Y)S(X,V) - R(Y,X,V,\rho) = \omega(\overline{Y})S(X,\overline{V}) + R(\overline{Y},X,V,\overline{\rho}). \tag{4.4}$$

By using (2.8), equation (4.4) implies

$$\frac{r}{4}\omega(Y)g(X,V) - R(Y,X,V,\rho) = \frac{r}{4}\omega(\overline{Y})g(X,\overline{V}) + R(\overline{Y},X,V,\overline{\rho}). \tag{4.5}$$

Putting $X = V = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ in (4.5) and taking summation over i, we get

$$S(Y,\rho) = \frac{r}{2}\omega(Y). \tag{4.6}$$

or

$$S(Y,\rho) = \frac{r}{2}g(Y,\rho). \tag{4.7}$$

Replacing ρ by $\overline{\rho}$ in (4.7), we can write

$$S(Y,\overline{\rho}) = \frac{r}{2}g(Y,\overline{\rho}). \tag{4.8}$$

Hence from (4.7) and (4.8), we can state:

Theorem 4.1. *If M be a weakly symmetric perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold satisfying the Einstein equation with cosmological constant then ρ and $\overline{\rho}$ are the eigen vector of the Ricci tensor S with respect to eigen value $\frac{r}{2}$.*

Now putting $X = V = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ in (1.3) and summing over i, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(divR)(Y,Z)U =& R(Y,Z,U,\alpha) + \omega(Y)S(Z,U)\\ &+ \omega(Z)S(Y,U) + R(Y,Z,U,\rho).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

From (2.8), it can be easily obtained

$$(\nabla_Y S)(Z,U) = \frac{r}{4}(\nabla_Y g)(Z,U) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Using (4.10) in Bianchi second identity, we can write

$$(divR)(Y,Z)U = (\nabla_Y S)(Z,U) - (\nabla_Z S)(Y,U) = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

By using (4.11), the equation (4.9) gives

$$R(Y,Z,U,\alpha) + \omega(Y)S(Z,U) - \omega(Z)S(Y,U) + R(Y,Z,U,\rho) = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

Putting $Z = U = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ in (4.12) and taking summation over i, we have

$$S(Y,\alpha) + r\omega(Y) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Using (2.8) in (4.13), we can write

$$\frac{r}{4}g(Y,\alpha) + rg(Y,\rho) = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Replacing Y by ρ in (4.14), we get

$$r[g(\alpha,\rho) - 4] = 0, \tag{4.15}$$

which implies either $r = 0$ or $g(\alpha,\rho) = 4$.
Hence, from above discussion we have

Theorem 4.2. *If M be a weakly symmetric perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold satisfying the Einstein equation with cosmological constant then either the manifold is of zero scalar curvature or the associated vector fields α and ρ are related by $g(\alpha,\rho) = 4$.*

5 Weakly Ricci symmetric perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold

From equation (4.10) it is clear that if the manifold is perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold then $(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = 0$, therefore from (1.4), we have

$$A(X)S(Y,Z) + \omega(Y)S(Z,X) + \omega(Z)S(X,Y) = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

for weakly Ricci symmetric perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold. Using (2.8) in (5.1), we can write

$$\frac{r}{4}[A(X)g(Y,Z) + \omega(Y)g(Z,X) + \omega(Z)g(X,Y)] = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

equation (5.2) implies either scalar curvature $r = 0$ or

$$A(X)g(Y,Z) + \omega(Y)g(Z,X) + \omega(Z)g(X,Y) = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Now, if (5.3) holds then by replacing Y and Z by $\overline{Y}$ and $\overline{Z}$ in (5.3) and using (1.6), we get

$$A(X)g(Y,Z) + \omega(\overline{Y})g(\overline{Z},X) + \omega(\overline{Z})g(X,\overline{Y}) = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Subtracting (5.3) from (5.4), we have

$$\omega(\overline{Y})g(X,\overline{Z}) - \omega(Y)g(X,Z) + \omega(\overline{Z})g(X,\overline{Y}) - \omega(Z)g(X,Y) = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Putting $X = Z = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ in (5.5) and taking summation over i, we get

$$\omega(Y) = 0, \tag{5.6}$$

which is not possible because $g(\rho, \rho) = -1$.
Hence, we can state that:

Theorem 5.1. *There does not exist a weakly Ricci symmetric perfect fluid Kähler space-time manifold satisfying the Einstein equation with cosmological constant having non-zero scalar curvature tensor.*

References

[1] Binh, T. Q.: On weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 42, 1-2 (1993), 103-107.

[2] Chaki, M. C., Roy, S.: Space-times with covariant-constant energy momentum tensor, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 35(1996), 1027-1032.

[3] De, U. C., Bandyopadhyay, S.: On weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 54/3-4 (1999), 377-381.

[4] De, U. C., De, A.: On almost pseudo-conformally symmetric Ricci-recurrent manifolds with applications to Relativity, Czechoslovak Math. J., 62(137) (2012), 1055-1072.

[5] De, U. C., Ghosh, G. C.: On weakly Ricci symmetric space-time manifolds, Radovi Mathematicki, 13(2004), 93-101.

[6] De, U. C., Mallick, S.: On weakly symmetric space-time, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 36 (2) (2012), 299-308.

[7] De, A., Özgür, C., De, U. C.: On conformally flat almost pseudo Ricci-symmetric space-times, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 51(2012), 2878-2887.

[8] De, U. C., Velimirovic, L.: Space-times with semi-symmetric Energy-momentum tensor, Int. J. Theor. Phys., DOI: 10.1007/s10773-014-2381-5.

[9] Kaigorodov, V. R.: The curvature structure of space-time, Prob. Geom., 14(1983), 177-204, (in Russian).

[10] Karcher, H.: Infinitesimal characterization of Friedmann Universes, Arch. Math. (Basel), 38, 1982, 58-64.

[11] Mallick, S., De, U. C.: On a type of space-time, Mathematica Moravica, 18-1 (2014), 29-38.

[12] O'Neill, B.: Semi Riemannian Geometry with application to Relativity, Academic press, New York-London, 1983.

[13] Prvanovic, M.: On weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 46, 1-2 (1995), 19-25.

[14] Roychaudhury, A. K., Banerji, S., Banerjee, A.: General relativity, astrophysics and cosmology, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[15] Shaikh, A. A., Yoon, D. W., Hui, S. K.: On quasi-Einstein space-times, Tshukuba J. Maths., 33(2009), 305-326.

[16] Tammasy, L., Binh, T. Q.: On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, 56(1992), 663-670.

[17] Tamassy, L., De, U. C., Binh, T. Q.: On weak symmetries of Kähler manifolds, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, 5(1), 2000, 149-155.